Methodology for Yield Determination of Surface Shots Over Water

Film Scanning and Re-Analysis Project

September 7, 2017

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

Justin D. Nguyen, Cal Poly SLO (WCI/HEDP Summer Student) Dr. Gregory D. Spriggs, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (Mentor)



LLNL-PRES-738070

This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344. Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC

Presentation Breakdown

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Objectives
- 3. Methodology
- 4. Results
- 5. Discussion
- 6. Future Work
- 7. Thanks & Acknowledgements



1. Introduction

- Yield measure of the amount of energy released by a detonation in equivalent tons of TNT
- Shockwave analysis is one of the many methods used to determine the yields of nuclear detonations
- All shockwave analysis techniques so far assume spherical shockwaves
- Yield is proportional to volume of the shockwave at a given reference time
- Shockwaves of surface shots were asymmetrical over water







1. Introduction

- Existing data on shockwave radii:
 - EG&G may or may not have ignored the asymmetry
 - For most surface shots, EG&G used the arithmetic average of measured radii
- Deciding where the shockwave edge lies is a subjective measurement
 - Initial measurements were made on a Kodagraph









1. Introduction

- Film Scanning and Re-Analysis Project here at LLNL has digitized ~4200 of these scientific nuclear test films
- We live in the 21st century where advanced image processing algorithms and powerful supercomputers are at our disposal





2. Objectives

- Image Processing
 - Devise an image processing pipeline which can segment the shockwave of the detonation from the rest of the frame
 - Measure the dimension of the shockwave contour
- Yield Determination
 - Create a method to reduce the 2-D contour to a single value which can be used in existing shockwave analysis equations
 - Compare yields and radius measurements to the past models and hope that they're better
- Resource Limitation
 - No army of interns





- Determining the contour of the shockwave from a noisy, scientific film yields an image segmentation problem
- Pipeline for performing this image segmentation can be broken down into three steps:
 - Image Preprocessing
 - Image Segmentation
 - Image Postprocessing
- Minimal amount of human input







- Overall Goals:
 - · Gather as much preliminary data from the original image as possible
 - Apply some transformations which should make the later steps easier
- Issues to Address:
 - Incorrect exposure of each image
 - Noise in the original image
- First steps
 - Cropping and Down sampling
 - Median Blur Noise Reduction
 - Morphological Opening Despeckling and noise reduction





- Automatic Exposure Compensation
 - Curve Adjustment similar to other Image Processing tools ex. Photoshop, Lightroom, etc.
 - Dynamic generation of Look Up Tables (LUTs) to help correct exposure
- Necessary since films have a fixed sensitivity
 - Early stages of the shockwave have extremely high amounts of light output resulting in a washed out frame
 - Late stages when shockwave drops below the Draper Temperature and stops emitting visible light









- Separate image into distinct regions which will allow the application to cut out unnecessary parts of the image
- Determine a preliminary threshold value through waveform analysis:
 - Waveform A plot displaying the light intensity of each row/column of an image
 - K-Means clustering to get discreet points which describe the high density regions of the waveform
 - Take directional gradient to find edge points corresponding to the shockwave
 - Find the midpoint of the edge points
- Dilate and erode the thresholded image to form regions we know for sure are:
 - The background (0-black)
 - The fireball or foreground (255-white)
 - The area containing the shockwave





Waveforms of Original Image









3. Methodology – Image Segmentation

- Finding a more refined threshold value which will binarize the image exactly on the shockwave front (hopefully)
- This is done by analyzing the histogram of the region where the shockwave lies
 - Histogram A plot showing the distribution of light intensities for an image
 - By examining the histogram of light intensities from 1-254, we are looking at the distribution of light intensities of just the shockwave interface region
 - Use Kernel Density Estimation to get a smoothed function of the histogram
 - Apply Peak Detector to find the two maxima of the plot that describe only the interface region
 - Run Otsu's Thresholding Algorithm on this specific region of the histogram to get a final threshold
 - Maximize Inter-Class Variance
 - Minimize Intra-Class Variance



3. Methodology – Image Segmentation







- Find the contours of the segmented image
- Measure a dimension of the final contour via shape fitting
 - · Generally a bounding box works well
 - Height and (half) the width of the bounding box describe the asymmetry of the shockwave
 - Fit an ellipse to the asymmetric shockwave
 - Major and Minor Radii of the resulting ellipse is used







3. Methodology – Yield Determination

• Taylor's Equation is used in shockwave analysis to determine the yield of these shots

•
$$R = \frac{1}{2K^{1/5}} \left(\frac{\theta Y_{SW}}{\rho_0}\right)^{1/5} t^{2/5}$$

- Only has a single radius parameter
- To simplify our shockwave measurements to a single value which can be used in yield determination the following methods are tested:
 - Arithmetic Average

$$- \bar{r} = \frac{a+b}{2}$$

• Radius of an equivalent volume sphere

$$- \frac{4}{3}\pi\bar{r}^3 = \frac{4}{3}\pi abc, a = b$$
$$- \bar{r} = \sqrt[3]{a^2c}$$



- 50 films analyzed so far with some fiddling here and there
- Film Analysis Application required only minimal human input
 - Frame of first light
 - Cropping Bounds cutting our extra parts of the film ex. film perforations
 - Measurement Fit Type: Bounding Box or Ellipse



Maple_52184 Actual Shockwave Radius v. Abs. Time





- To reduce the dimension of our measurement we:
 - Took an arithmetic average
 - Used the radius of a sphere of equivalent volume to our fitted ellipsoid

350 . 300 250 Shockwave Radius (m) 200 150 100 50 0 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.05 0 0.04 0.06 Time (s) • Eq. Volume Radius Average Radius EG&G B-1817 Radius (m)

Maple_52184 Actual Shockwave Radius v. Abs. Time



 Determining the Yield using Taylor's Equation requires finding the values of R1 which correspond to the regime which Taylor's Equation is valid



Maple_52184 Comparison of R1 v. Relative Time



- Original EG&G Yield: 215 KT
- EG&G Re-analysis Yield: 193.4 KT [Greg Spriggs]
 - Using original EG&G data
 - 10% difference with original EG&G Yield
- Current work:
 - Radius of Equivalent Volume Yield: 194.8 KT
 - 9.4% difference with original EG&G Yield
 - 0.7% difference with re-analyzed EG&G Yield
 - Average Radius Yield: 202.3 KT
 - 5.9% difference with original EG&G Yield
 - 4.6% difference with re-analyzed EG&G Yield



5. Discussion

- Primary goals of the film analysis application were met, no army required
- Tool is still very experimental with several necessary improvements ex:
 - Smarted exposure compensation
 - More feedback in each step and between steps to catch errors
- Generate accurate and repeatable benchmark data for use in:
 - Validating existing shockwave simulation codes
 - Creating new 2D or even 3D simulation codes
- The yields calculated with the described methods can also be used to benchmark our codes



6. Future Research

- Mass Entrainment effects as the shockwave moves over the water
- 3-D modeling of the shockwave using composites of the shockwave's contour from multiple angles
- Novel methods for reducing radii dimensions to singular value for use with existing yield determination equations
- Yield Determination equations which take in 2-dimentional data
- Developing more accurate models and simulations which account for water entrainment effects and the asymmetrical shockwave



7. Thanks & Acknowledgements

- All LLNL employees involved in making our summer internships a great experience!
- Team: Gregory Spriggs, Jim Moye, Jason Bender, Peter Kuran
- Interns: Mindy Cook, Alea Delmastro, Lansing Horan, Aaron Kawahara



